



PROJECT CONFIDENCE REVIEW™

INDEPENDENT CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION
BUILDING BETTER DECISIONS



PROJECT:
Riverside Water Treatment
Plant Improvements



CLIENT:
City of Riverside
Public Works



PROJECT NO.:
K5-250418



REVIEW DATE:
May 18, 2025



REVIEWER:
K5 Review Team



DISTRIBUTION:
Owner, Engineer,
Project Team

OVERALL PROJECT CONFIDENCE™

91 / 100



OUTSTANDING

CONFIDENCE TREND

PREVIOUS REVIEW	CURRENT REVIEW	CHANGE
84	91	+7 ↑

CONFIDENCE CATEGORY

01 SCOPE DEFINITION

Evaluates the clarity, completeness, and alignment of the project scope and related documentation.

CATEGORY SCORE

87 / 100

GOOD CONFIDENCE

PROJECT CONFIDENCE DASHBOARD

CATEGORY	SCORE
01 SCOPE DEFINITION	91
02 DESIGN COMPLETENESS	88
03 CONSTRUCTABILITY	94
04 SPECIFICATIONS	83
05 RISK EXPOSURE	79
06 SCHEDULE	90
07 COST CONFIDENCE	92
08 OPERATIONS	95
09 SAFETY	87
10 READINESS	90

CONFIDENCE INDICATOR 01.01 PROJECT DEFINITION

Evaluates whether the project's purpose, objectives, and intended outcomes have been clearly established and consistently communicated.



FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION

Can an experienced construction professional understand exactly why this project exists without speaking to the owner or engineer?

VERIFICATION POINT 01.01.001 PROJECT PURPOSE

OBJECTIVE: Verify that the overall purpose of the project has been clearly documented.

REQUIRED EVIDENCE	VERIFICATION CRITERIA	POINTS	EARNED	EVIDENCE / COMMENTS
Project Narrative ☒	1 Project purpose is explicitly documented. The purpose is clearly stated in at least one primary project document.	20	20	Purpose clearly stated in Project Narrative (Section 1.2) and Executive Summary.
Basis of Design Report ☒	2 Purpose is consistent throughout the contract documents. Purpose language is aligned across documents without material conflict.	20	18	Consistent across Narrative, Basis of Design, and Specs. Minor wording variation in General Notes.
Owner Requirements ☒	3 Purpose aligns with the Basis of Design. Purpose is supported by the Basis of Design and design criteria.	20	20	Purpose directly supported by Basis of Design (Section 2.1).
Funding Documentation ☐	4 Purpose is reflected within the plans and specifications. Plans and specifications clearly reflect and support the stated purpose.	20	19	Purpose reflected in plans and specs. One spec section could be clearer (01 10 00 Summary).
Executive Summary ☒	5 An independent reviewer can clearly understand the project purpose without additional explanation. A qualified reviewer can understand the purpose without assumptions.	20	14	Overall clear. Some assumptions may be required regarding operational goals and long-term intent.
General Notes ☒				
Specifications ☒				
☒ Evidence Located ☐ Evidence Not Located				

VERIFICATION POINT SCORE

91 / 100

SUPPORTING OBSERVATIONS

- OBS-01 Funding documentation does not clearly state project purpose.
- OBS-04 Spec Section 01 10 00 does not fully reflect project intent.
- OBS-07 Operational goals are implied but not explicitly documented.

See Observation Register for Additional Details



REVIEWER COMMENTS

The project purpose is generally well documented and supported across the majority of contract documents. Minor gaps exist in funding documentation and one specification section. Clarification of operational goals would further strengthen this verification point.



RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

- Update funding documentation to explicitly state the project purpose.
- Revise Spec Section 01 10 00 to clearly reflect project intent.
- Document operational goals explicitly within the Basis of Design.



KNOWLEDGE.
We bring experience.



INDEPENDENT.
We are objective.



EVIDENCE-BASED.
We verify. We validate.



ACTIONABLE.
We drive results.

PAGE 1 OF 1
REV. 0 | 05.18.2025